

Making the Case for Supported Employment: Lower Use & Costs of Medical Services for Employed Persons with Serious Mental Illness

Judith A. Cook, Ph.D.

Jane K. Burke-Miller, Ph.D.

Pamela Steigman, M.A.

Center for Mental Health Services Research & Policy

University of Illinois at Chicago

Presented at the SAMHSA SEP Grantee Meeting, March 15-16, 2018, Rockville, MD



Major Research Questions

- Do people with psychiatric disabilities who work have lower medical service **utilization** and **costs** than those not in the labor force?
- If so, can we make the case for services that promote employment by arguing that they have the potential to **save money** for managed care organizations (MCOs) and state Medicaid authorities?

Methods

- N=121 English-speaking participants with serious mental illness were interviewed from May 2016--May 2017.
- Participants were clients at 1 of the SAMHSA IPS initiative sites in Chicago.
- A 30 minute in-person interview assessed service utilization, self-perceived mental & physical health status, employment, & disability status.
- 57% (n=69) were not working; 43% (n=52) were employed, of those working, 71% were receiving IPS services
- Multivariable logistic regression and negative binomial generalized linear models examined differences in service use & estimated costs by employment status

This research was supported by a grant from the U.S. Social Security Administration (SSA) as part of the Disability Research Consortium (DRC). The findings and conclusions are solely those of the author[s] and do not represent the views of SSA, any agency of the Federal Government, NBER Disability Research Center or Mathematica's Center for Studying Disability Policy (CSDP).

Participant Characteristics

	Total Sample N=121	Employed n=52	Not working n=69
Female	(41) 33.9%	(17) 32.6%	(24) 34.7%
Black/African American	(71) 58.7%	(32) 61.5%	(39) 56.5%
White	(33) 27.3%	(16) 30.8%	(17) 24.6%
Asian	(5) 4.1%	(0) --	(5) 7.2%
American Indian	(2) 1.7%	(1) 1.9%	(1) 1.4%
Mixed Race	(7) 5.8%	(3) 5.8%	(4) 5.8%
Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity	(15) 12.4%	(4) 7.7%	(11) 15.9%
Medicaid/Medicare Coverage	(98) 81.0%	(43) 82.6%	(55) 79.7%
Major Depression	(35) 28.9%	(12) 23.1%	(23) 33.3%
Bipolar Disorder	(26) 21.5%	(12) 23.1%	(14) 20.3%
Schizophrenia/Schizoaffective Disorder	(54) 44.6%	(25) 48.1%	(29) 42.0%
Anxiety Disorder	(6) 5.0%	(3) 5.8%	(3) 4.3%
	Mean (std dev)	Mean (std dev)	Mean (std dev)
Poor Physical Health (self-reported, higher=worse)	3.2 (1.2)	3.0 (1.1)	3.4 (1.2)*
Age, years	47.7 (9.8)	47.1 (9.9)	48.1 (9.8)
Monthly Income including disability cash benefits	\$1,203 (935)	\$1,802 (1010)	\$752 (564)*

*p < .05

Use of medical care was less likely among employed people

	Employed	Not Employed	Total	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)
Any Medical Care	19.2% (10)	44.9% (31)	33.9% (41)	0.29 (.13-.67)*
Outpatient Care	15.4% (8)	29.0% (20)	23.1% (28)	0.45 (.18-1.11)+
Inpatient/ Emergency Care	11.5% (6)	24.6% (17)	19.0% (23)	0.40 (.14-1.09)+

*p < .05, + p < .10

Medical care use was lower, even controlling for factors that affect health care use

Multivariable Logistic Regression adjusting for Participant Characteristics

	Any Medical Care
	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)
Employed (vs not working)	0.31 (0.13, 0.72)**
Poor Physical Health (higher score = worse health)	1.08 (0.77-1.50)
Age, in years	1.02 (0.97-1.06)
Female (vs male)	1.16 (0.50-2.68)
Black (vs all other racial groups)	0.75 (0.34-1.68)

**p<.01

Estimated costs¹ of medical care significantly lower among employed vs. not working Negative Binomial Generalized Linear Models²

	Total (N=121) n (%)	Employed (N=52) n (%)	Not working (N=69) n (%)
Average outpatient medical costs	\$775	\$515	\$971**
Average inpatient medical costs	\$1,615	\$939	\$2,124***
Average outpatient + inpatient costs	\$2,390	\$1,455	\$3,095***

¹Costs are estimated from data in the most recent CMS MMLEADS Public Use File, and based on average Medicaid fee-for-service costs for Illinois in 2011 among Medicaid adult enrollees with physical and/or mental health disabilities. Emergency Department costs were not available.

² Analysis controls for age, sex, race, & health status.

p<.01, *p<.001

Answers to Major Questions

- Did people with psychiatric disabilities who worked have lower medical service **utilization** than those not in the labor force? – YES, although further analysis & better data are needed to understand this more fully
- Did those who worked have lower medical services **costs** than those not in the labor force? – YES, although analysis of actual (vs. imputed) costs are needed to verify and understand this more fully
- Can we argue that helping people work saves money for managed care organizations (MCOs) and state Medicaid authorities? – our results support this conclusion but it is ultimately UNKNOWABLE without an experimental study

What business case can we make?

- We have evidence that people with psychiatric disabilities who are employed are less likely to use medical services than those not working. This is true regardless of their reported health.
- Also, that employed people with psychiatric disabilities have lower estimated medical costs than those not working, also true regardless of self-reported health.
- Therefore, providing services that promote employment & sustained labor force participation may be a good investment for payors seeking to control medical service use & costs.
- These results are shared with state & MCO policy makers as part of making the business case for including IPS in the state's essential behavioral health services package.

Contact Information

Judith A. Cook, PhD
University of Illinois at Chicago
1601 W. Taylor St. M/C 912
Chicago, IL 60612
312-355-3921
jcook@uic.edu
<http://www.cmhsrp.uic.edu/health/>



UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
Hospital & Health Sciences System
Changing medicine. For good.